## PATENT COOPERATION TREATY



# **PCT**

# INTERNATIONAL PRELIMINARY EXAMINATION REPORT

| tion  | PATENT COOPERATION  | TREATY  |  |  |
|---|---|---|--|--|
| aslati  | PCT   |   |  |  |
| anslation internat  | IONAL PRELIMINARY EX  | AMINATION REPORT  |  |  |
|   | (PCT Article 36 and Rul   | le 70)  |  |  |
| Applicant's or agent's file reference 2001P15983WO                        | FOR FURTHER ACTION See Prel   | Notification of Transmittal of International Internation Report (Form PCT/IPEA                            |  |  |
| International application No. PCT/DE2002/002836                           | International filing date (day/month 01 August 2002 (01.08.20   |   |  |  |
| International Patent Classification (IPC) or<br>H04L 12/28                | national classification and IPC   |   |  |  |
|   |   |   |  |  |
| Applicant   | SIEMENS AKTIENGESELLS   | CHAFT   |  |  |
|   |   |   |  |  |
| This international preliminary exa<br>and is transmitted to the applicant | mination report has been prepared by the  | his International Preliminary Examining Autho   |  |  |
|   |   | in sever sheet  |  |  |
|   | of 6 sheets, including thi  |   |  |  |
| amended and are the basis   | nied by ANNEXES, i.e., sheets of the for this report and/or sheets containing the Administrative Instructions under the | description, claims and/or drawings which hav<br>rectifications made before this Authority (se<br>e PCT). |  |  |
| These annexes consist of a  | total of sheets.  |   |  |  |
| This report contains indications re                                       | elating to the following items:   |   |  |  |
| I Basis of the repor  | t   | •   |  |  |
| II Priority   |   | •   |  |  |
| III Non-establishmer  | at of opinion with regard to novelty, inv   | ventive step and industrial applicability   |  |  |
| IV Lack of unity of invention   |   |   |  |  |
| V Reasoned stateme  | ent under Article 35(2) with regard to n<br>anations supporting such statement  | ovelty, inventive step or industrial applicability  |  |  |
| Costain desympatrs sited  |   |   |  |  |
| Costain defeats in the international application                          |   |   |  |  |
| Costain observations on the international application                     |   |   |  |  |
| VIII Certain observation  |   |   |  |  |
|   |   |   |  |  |
| Date of submission of the demand  | Date of cor   | npletion of this report   |  |  |
| 29 November 2002 (29  | 2.1.1.2002)   | 13 November 2003 (13.11.2003)   |  |  |
| Name and mailing address of the IPEA/E                                    | P Authorized  | officer   |  |  |
|   |   |   |  |  |
| Facsimile No  | Telephone   | No  |  |  |

Form PCT/IPEA/409 (cover sheet) (July 1998)

International application No.

## INTERNATIONAL PRELIMINARY EXAMINATION REPORT

PCT/DE2002/002836

| I. Basis      | of the re   | port   |  |  |  |  |  |
|---------------|---|--|--|--|--|--|--|
| 1. With       | regard to   | the elements of the international application:*  |  |  |  |  |  |
|               | the inte  | mational application as originally filed   |  |  |  |  |  |
|               | the des   | cription:  |  |  |  |  |  |
|               | pages   | . 1-13 , as originally filed   |  |  |  |  |  |
|               | pages   | , filed with the demand  |  |  |  |  |  |
|               | pages   | , filed with the letter of   |  |  |  |  |  |
|               | the clai  | the claims:  |  |  |  |  |  |
|               | pages   | 1-11 , as originally filed   |  |  |  |  |  |
|               | pages   | , as amended (together with any statement under Article 19   |  |  |  |  |  |
|               | pages   | , filed with the demand  |  |  |  |  |  |
|               | pages   | , filed with the letter of   |  |  |  |  |  |
|               | the dra   | wings:   |  |  |  |  |  |
|               | pages   | 1/1 , as originally filed  |  |  |  |  |  |
|               | pages   | , filed with the demand  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1             | pages   | , filed with the letter of   |  |  |  |  |  |
| l □ :         | he seque  | nce listing part of the description:   |  |  |  |  |  |
|               | pages   | , as originally filed  |  |  |  |  |  |
|               | pages   | , filed with the demand  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1             | pages   | , filed with the letter of   |  |  |  |  |  |
| the ir        | nternation<br>e element<br>the lan  | o the language, all the elements marked above were available or furnished to this Authority in the language in which all application was filed, unless otherwise indicated under this item.  Its were available or furnished to this Authority in the following language which is guage of a translation furnished for the purposes of international search (under Rule 23.1(b)).  By the language in which is guage of a translation furnished for the purposes of international search (under Rule 23.1(b)). |  |  |  |  |  |
|               | the language of the translation furnished for the purposes of international preliminary examination (under Rule 55.2 and or 55.3).  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 3. With preli | ith regard to any nucleotide and/or amino acid sequence disclosed in the international application, the international eliminary examination was carried out on the basis of the sequence listing: |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|               |   | ained in the international application in written form.  |  |  |  |  |  |
|               |   | together with the international application in computer readable form.   |  |  |  |  |  |
|               |   | nished subsequently to this Authority in written form.   |  |  |  |  |  |
|               | furnished subsequently to this Authority in computer readable form.   |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|               | The statement that the subsequently furnished written sequence listing does not go beyond the disclosure in the international application as filed has been furnished.                            |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|               |   | atement that the information recorded in computer readable form is identical to the written sequence listing has<br>urnished.  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 4.            | The ar  | nendments have resulted in the cancellation of:  |  |  |  |  |  |
| _             | П   | the description, pages   |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1             |   | the claims, Nos.   |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1             |   | the drawings, sheets/fig   |  |  |  |  |  |
| 5.            |   | port has been established as if (some of) the amendments had not been made, since they have been considered to go the disclosure as filed, as indicated in the Supplemental Box (Rule 70.2(c)).**  |  |  |  |  |  |
| in th         | acement<br>is repor<br>70.17).  | sheets which have been furnished to the receiving Office in response to an invitation under Article 14 are referred to<br>t as "originally filed" and are not annexed to this report since they do not contain amendments (Rule 70.10  |  |  |  |  |  |
|               |   | ent sheet containing such amendments must be referred to under item 1 and annexed to this report.  |  |  |  |  |  |

#### INTERNATIONAL PRELIMINARY EXAMINATION REPORT

International application No.
PCT/DE 02/02836

| ٧. | Reasoned statement under Article 35(2) with regard to novelty, inventive step or industrial applicability; |
|----|--|
|    | citations and explanations supporting such statement   |

| 1. Statement                  |        |        |       |
|-------------------------------|--------|--------|-------|
| Novelty (N)                   | Claims | 1-11   | YES   |
|                               | Claims |        | NO    |
| Inventive step (IS)           | Claims |        | YES   |
|                               | Claims | 1-11   | NO    |
| Industrial applicability (IA) | Claims | 1-11 . | YES   |
| •                             | Claims |        | NO NO |

- 2. Citations and explanations
  - 1. Reference is made to the following documents:

D1: DE 101 03 743 A (MITEL TELECOM LTD) 2 August 2001 (2001-08-02)

- D2: WO 01/19053 A (SESMUN AMARDIYA; UNIV BRISTOL (GB);
  MUNRO ALISTAIR THOMAS DONALD) 15 March 2001
  (2001-03-15).
- 2. Document D1 discloses all of the structural features of the device according to claim 1, namely an arrangement for wirelessly connecting terminals (figure 2: 22, 26, 28) to a communications system, with:
  - a) a data packet network (figure 2: 25) for transmitting data packets on the basis of network addresses that are valid within the network (generally known feature of a LAN),
  - b) at least one transition device (figure 2: 20-1, 20-2, 20-3, 20-4) that is coupled to the data packet network and to which at least one short-range radio module (column 4, fourth paragraph) is coupled, the transition device having a coupling table with terminal addresses of terminals located within radio range of the at least one short-range radio module (column 7, lines 46-49),

## INTERNATIONAL PRELIMINARY EXAMINATION REPORT

c) a server (figure 2: 30) coupled to the data packet network for controlling connections to the terminals (column 5, line 41 to column 6, line 7).

Although document D1 does not explicitly disclose that an allocation table containing the allocation of terminals to the network addresses of transition devices is included in the server, which is coupled to the data packet network, there are indications that this type of allocation must occur. The presence and location of a visitor on the network are thus stored in the register (column 7, lines 5-6). Calls originating from a fixed network can be routed to the mobile subscriber (column 8, lines 55-57). Document D1 therefore includes sufficient suggestions that would necessarily lead a person skilled in the art to map subscriber identifications onto the network addresses

of

transition devices. Therefore, no inventive step can be inferred from this feature.

The device according to claim 1 also differs from the device known from document D1 in that a dynamic alignment of the allocation table with the coupling table is performed by means of a packet-based alignment protocol.

In document D1, by contrast, a location in the server is recorded in a dialogue with the terminal when it is checked in.

However, the alternative solution in claim 1 is already known from document D2, which describes a method of mobility management wherein a mobile terminal is registered in a foreign network, whereupon the foreign

network transmits an update message ("binding update") to the home network, which saves the allocation between the permanent address of the terminal and the address of the foreign registry (cf. D2, page 13, second paragraph).

Therefore, a person skilled in the art would also consider it obvious to employ said alternative solution, which is disclosed in document D2, in a device according to document D1 if the circumstances required it, for example, if it is intended that there not be a dialogue with the subscriber as part of the check-in procedure.

The subject matter of claim 1 thus does not involve an inventive step (PCT Article 33(3)).

It is also noted that registries in the visited network (VLR) and in the home network (HLR), between which an alignment is carried out by means of a packet-based protocol (MAP), are already sufficiently well known from the field of mobile telephone networks according to the GSM standard. For IP networks, the IETF has defined a similar arrangement ("Mobile IP") wherein a data connection is routed via a home agent in which is stored an IP address at which a mobile IP subscriber in a foreign network can be reached. The features of D2 mentioned above are a variant of this technology.

These features, which are generally known to a person skilled in the art, are enough to call into question the inventiveness of claim 1 with respect to document D1.

### INTERNATIONAL PRELIMINARY EXAMINATION REPORT

- 3. Dependent claims 2 to 11 do not contain any features which, in combination with the features of any claim to which they refer back, meet the PCT requirements for inventive step (PCT Article 33(3)). The reasons are as follows:
  - the features of claims 2, 3 and 6 to 11 are known from document D1 (claim 2: column 5, line 67 to column 6, line 2; claim 3: column 4, lines 26-39; claim 6: column 4, lines 33-39; claim 7: column 7, lines 5-6; claim 8: figure 2 (38); claim 9: figure 2 (22, 23); claims 10 and 11: figure 2 (26);
  - the features of claims 4 and 5 relate to other design details of the conversion device that are within the scope of normal practice for a person skilled in the art.
- 4. Documents D1 and D2 are not acknowledged in the introductory part of the description (PCT Rule 5.1(a)(ii)).